**Biological Sciences: Evolution.**

**Phylogeny and microbiome of the New Zealand Psylloidea (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha) reveal insect-bacteria co-evolution and a new case of phylosymbiosis*.***

Francesco Martoni1,2,3,\*, Simon Bulman4,5, Andrew Pitman5,6, Gary Taylor7, Ian Dickie8, Alexander Piper3 & Karen Armstrong1,2,5.

1. *Bio-Protection Research Centre, Lincoln University, Lincoln 7647, New Zealand.*
2. *Plant Biosecurity Cooperative Research Centre, University of Canberra, ACT 2617, Australia.*
3. *Agriculture Victoria Research Division, AgriBio Centre, 5 Ring Road, Bundoora VIC 3083, Australia.*
4. *The New Zealand Institute for Plant & Food Research Ltd, Lincoln 7608, New Zealand.*
5. *Better Border Biosecurity (B3), Lincoln 7608, New Zealand.*
6. *Andy’s new address*
7. *The University of Adelaide, Adelaide 5005, South Australia.*
8. *Canterbury University*
9. *\*. Corresponding author:* Francesco Martoni, *Agriculture Victoria Research Division, AgriBio Centre, 5 Ring Road, Bundoora VIC 3083, Australia. Email:* [francesco.martoni@agriculture.vic.gov.au](mailto:francesco.martoni@agriculture.vic.gov.au)

**Keywords:** Bacterial metabarcoding, evolution, symbionts, radiation, systematic, biodiversity.

**Abstract**

Like all phloem- and sap-feeding insects, psyllids (Hemiptera: Psylloidea) have a rather limited diet and depend on symbiotic bacteria for the provision of fundamental aminoacids. A number of studies has focused on the vertically transmitted primary symbiont, *Candidatus* Carsonella rudii, and a number of both vertically and horizontally transmitted secondary symbionts to determine their co-evolutionary relationships with psyllids. However, recent improvements in microbiome sequencing techniques now allow to focus on the pan-microbiome diversity, moving the focus from a few bacterial symbiont species to a broader whole-microbiome context. Furthermore, in the case of psyllids - insects with strong species-specific host plant associations - the study of microbiomes and their comparison with the hosts’ phylogenetics enables a better undestanding of plant-microbe-insect interaction and co-evolutionary relationships. Here, for a better understanding such relationships, we generated a phylogenetic framework for the New Zealand psyllids and compared it with the whole pan-microbiome composition of the New Zealand psyllids. To investigate this, a metabarcoding analysis of the bacterial 16S gene was analysed and tested for correlation with a multi-marker phylogenetic study of the New Zealand Psylloidea, their hostplant and their geographical distribution. The results obtained enhance our knowledge and understanding of the insect superfamnily Psylloidea in New Zealand, its evolution, ecology and microbiome composition. We suggest that today’s New Zealand psyllid fauna originated from at least six ancestral lineages/arrivals, confirming monophyly of the New Zealand genera *Ctenarytaina* and *Psylla* and demonstrating that the present radiation of the New Zealand *Trioza* species and their association with endemic host plantsis the result of a number of host switches. Furthermore, the core microbiome of the New Zealand psyllids species appeared to be strongly associated with the hosts’ phylogenetic relationships, highlighting a new case of phylosymbiosis.

**Significance Statement**

Bacteria living inside certain insects, such as psyllids, may provide advantages to their hosts, including provision of food and increased adaptability to environmental conditions. The same bacteria species can be found in the same psyllid species even when these live in different places, suggesting that psyllids and bacteria have evolved together for a long time, generating a strong bond between species. This co-evolution resulted in each insect species hosting a bacterial community almost as distinct as a finger print. This phenomenon, defined as phylosymbiosis, means that bacterial diversity is connected to the relationships between psyllid species. This will improve our understanding of how bacteria and insects have co-evolved through time and how they have influenced each other in the process.

1. **Introduction**

The association between phloem feeding hemipterans insects, such as aphids and psyllids, and their host plant is partially dependant on bacterial endosymbionts, which provide nutrients to the insects (Douglas 2016, and reference therein). Research suggests that this symbiosis is a major driver of insect diversification, which is a first step towards adaptive radiation (Vavre and Kremer 2014). Variation in the microbiome has been linked to insect phenotypic traits associated with diversification and speciation (Hosokawa *et al.* 2007), while a rapid adaptation of some insects to a new host plant has been linked to modifications of the microbiome (Chu *et al*. 2013). These studies have led to the hypothesis that the switch by insects to novel host plants may be symbiont-mediated (Tsuchida *et al.* 2011, Frago *et al.* 2012). For example, S-symbionts in aphids were proposed to facilitate or restrict the use of certain host plants (Tsuchida *et al.* 2011, Hansen and Moran 2014).

Psyllids are generally host plant specific, with each psyllid species generally associated with a single host plant (Brown and Hodkinson 1988; Burckhardt *et al.* 2014). Such host plant specificity has been associated with the presence of certain bacterial symbionts, allowing psyllids to feed on a specific plant (Hansen and Moran 2014). However, the lack of such specificity allows a few psyllids to feed and live on a high number of plants, making these insects dangerous plant pests (e.g. REF). These factors have largely driven psyllids-associated bacteria studies towards a pathogens-focused path, with the aim to understand insect-pathogen pairings of economic concern (e.g., Saha *et al.* 2012). However, the ever-growing amount of research confirming the interactive roles of pathogenic and insect symbiotic bacteria (e.g. Fagen *et al.* 2012; Nakabachi *et al.* 2013; Ramsey *et al.* 2015; Gonnella *et al.* 2019) is now highlighting the importance of a better understanding of non-pathogenic symbiotic bacteria, especially in non-model organisms (Prosdocimi *et al.* 2015).

A better understanding of what can influence the “pan-microbiome” composition - intended here as the whole composition of the microbiome, as opposed to only a few bacteria - could provide a better understanding of how insects switch host plants and potentially why some groups of psyllids are more invasive than others (Bennett 2013) or more prone to pathogen transmission (REF). The value of this pan-microbiome focus was demonstrated by reports that the plant-microbe-insect interaction may influence pathogen spread (Bennett 2013).

Phylosymbiosis (Brucker and Bordenstein 2012, Brooks *et al.* 2016) is “a significant association between host phylogenetic relationships and host-associated microbial community relationships” (Lim and Bordenstein 2019). This definition can be extended into “an eco-evolutionary pattern where evolutionary changes in the host are associated with ecological changes in its microbiome” (Sanders *et al.* 2014; Brooks *et al.* 2016). Determining the presence of a phylosymbiotic patterns can enable a better understanding of the role played by the microbiome in the genetic and phenotypic variation of the overall insect-bacteria holobionts (Lim & Bordenstein 2019) and, possibly, in the case of hemipterans, in the broader IPM network. Furthermore, phylosymbiosis defines a quantifiable link between the host evolutionary relationships and the microbiome diversity and composition, therefore providing a testable hypothesis (Lim & Bordenstein 2019). Therefore, the presence and degree of phylosymbiosis across an insect group could be implemented in ecological modelling analysis used to predict invasive species movements or likeliness of pathogens-vectoring (i.e. Syfert *et al.* 2017). However, despite having been recorded in a number of organisms, including rodents (Kohl *et al.* 2017), corals (Pollock *et al.* 2018), and insects (Colman *et al.* 2012; Minard *et al.* 2017), phylosymbiosis has not been recorded within the superfamily Psylloidea or the order Hemiptera.

Most studies focusing on non-pathogenic bacteria from this insect superfamily have focused on the primary symbiont of psyllids, “*Candidatus* Carsonella rudii”. All have reported phylogenetic congruence between *Ca* C. rudii and its psyllid hosts as evidence for strict co-speciation (Thao *et al.* 2000a; Thao *et al.* 2001; Sloan and Moran 2012; Hall *et al.* 2016). On the other hand, lack of congruence between the phylogenies of S-endosymbionts and hosts appears to indicate, for example, horizontal transmission of S-symbionts across psyllids (Thao *et al.* 2000b). Nonetheless, due to their role in the synthesis of amino acids, it has been hypothesised that psyllid S-endosymbionts have established long-term, stable associations with their hosts (Sloan and Moran 2012) suggesting a widespread presence of these endosymbionts across different psyllid species and a partial vertical transmission, too. Indeed, a work focusing mostly on the Australian genus *Cardiaspina* confirmed both vertical and horizontal transmission for S-symbionts(Hall *et al.* 2016).

A better understanding of the vertical and horizontal transmission of symbiotic bacteria across a wider set of psyllid taxa, however, has been limited by the lack of a robust phylogenetic framework that could be used to compare the microbial diversity with the phylogenetic relationships of the hosts. However, recent mitochondrial and nuclear genomic analyses have provided a phylogenetic backbone for the world Psylloidea (Percy *et al.* 2018; Cho *et al.* 2019). On the other hand, molecular studies of the Asia-Pacific Psylloidea have been focussing largely on COI DNA barcoding to understand specific aspects of biodiversity, as opposed to large-scale phylogenetic relationships (Taylor *et al.* 2016; Percy 2017; Martoni *et al.* 2018). Therefore, a phylogenetic study of a group of these psyllids, paired with an analysis of their microbiome could not only answer phylogenetic questions, but assess for the first time the microbial diversity of this group on a larger scale.

New Zealand’s landmass has undergone a series of geological events – from the separation from the Gondwanan super continent, to its partial sinking and isolation – that contributed to make its present days’ fauna unique when compared to that of other countries (e.g. Goldberg *et al.* 2008; Buckley *et al.* 2015). Therefore, the psyllid fauna of New Zealand, still relatively poorly studied, may be an ideal candidate to assess the presence of taxa of ancient origin (Goldberg *et al.* 2008) as well as to study the radiation and speciation of ancestral psyllids and their microbiome.

The psyllid fauna of New Zealand offers an example of today’s worldwide diversity within the superfamily Psylloidea, including ancient lineages, recent arrivals and economically relevant species. Indeed, not only New Zealand is home to both native and adventive species (Martoni *et al.* (2016), but some of these are also of economic importance, such as *Arytainilla spartiophila*, a bio-control agent of the Scotch Broom, *Cytisus scoparius* (Syrett *et al.* 2007), and the tomato/potato psyllid (TPP), *Bactericera cockerelli* Šulc, a significant pest (Vereijssen *et al.* 2018). A recent molecular assessment of the New Zealand Psylloidea (Martoni *et al.* 2018), coupling COI barcoding with morphological characters, identified more than 20 new taxa. Three spp have since been formally described (Martoni and Armstrong 2019a and b). The New Zealand psyllid fauna consists of at least 102 taxa, belonging to 24 genera and six families (of the eight worldwide) (Dale 1985; Macfarlane *et al.* 2010; Martoni *et al.* 2018), making it a more manageable case study than the nearby psyllid biodiversity hotspot that is Australia (more than 400 species; Hollis 2004, Ouvrard 2019).

The importance of a phylogenetic analysis of the New Zealand psyllid fauna and its microbiome resides in the high number of endemic psyllid taxa that could answer a number of evolutionary questions (e.g. Ferris and Klyver 1932, Tuthill 1952; Dale 1985). For example, the genus *Trioza* has a very wide range of native host plants across many different families (Martoni *et al.* 2016), which is considered a common trait of the genus worldwide (Ouvrard *et al.* 2015). However, the lack of a complete phylogenetic study of *Trioza* raised concerns on its taxonomical robustness, especially considering it has been deemed a “catch-all genus” (Ouvrard *et al.* 2015), suggesting the wide host plant association may be inflated by the presence of multiple genera. Furthermore, a phylogenetic study on this group would allow to answer taxonomic doubts regarding the position of the New Zealand genera *Atmetocranium* and *Anomalopsylla,* debated for more than 70 years (Ferris and Klyver 1932; Tuthill 1952; Heslop-Harrison 1960; Bekker-Migdisova 1973; Dale 1985; Burckhardt and Ouvrard 2012). At present, *Atmetocranium* is provisionally assigned to the family Calophyidae, together with South American species (Burckhardt and Ouvrard 2012), while *Anomalopsylla* is currently assigned to the subfamily Rhinocolinae (Aphalaridae) (Burckhardt & Ouvrard 2012).

To understand the relationships between New Zealand Hemipteran phloem-feeding insects and their symbionts, here we decided to generate a phylogenetic structure of this group that could be compared with a microbiome analysis in order to answer questions on the psyllids phylogenetics, their microbial diversity and psyllid-plant-bacteria interactions. The main aims of this work were to i) investigate the number of ancestral arrival events that have led to the present day’s native psyllid fauna to determine how many psyllid radiations happened, and ii) what evolutionary processes have led to the current psyllid-plant host relationships in New Zealand. By overlaying the phylogenetic framework obtained to a microbiome metabarcoding analysis, we aimed to enable a better understanding of the insect-bacteria dynamics and the microbiome composition. Hence, an additional aim was to , iii) verify what was hypothesised here, that one of the factors influencing the microbiome composition of the New Zealand psyllids could be the host evolutionary history, as opposed to a co-evolution with the host plants of the insects. Therefore, the psyllid phylogeny was used here to test its impact on the microbial composition of psyllids microbiome.

1. **Materials and methods**
   1. ***Psyllid DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing.***

DNA, from individual adult psyllids, was from (Martoni *et al.* 2018) or a selection of new samples from Australia and United States of America (Table SM1). PCR protocols essentially followed Martoni *et al.* (2018). For the ribosomal 18S, a 544-bp PCR product was amplified from 179 specimens using the primers 18S\_F (CTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGAGT; Ouvrard *et al.* 2000) and 18S\_Rmod, (ACCAGACTTGCCCTCCAAT; modified in this study from Ouvrard *et al.* 2000). Thermal cycling conditions were: an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 50°C for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 1 min, followed by a final extension of 7 min. For COI, PCRs were performed on 16 new individual psyllids including specimens of *Blastopsylla, Cryptoneossa* and two species of Triozidsfrom Australia (*Trioza tricornuta* and *Acanthocasuarina acutivalvis*) (Table SM1). All amplicons were sequenced directly using the Sanger method (Bio-Protection Research Centre, Lincoln University, New Zealand) with the PCR amplification primers.

Twenty partial Elongation Factor-1α sequences (240 bp) were from (Martoni *et al.* 2017). A new EF1 α sequence was isolated here from *Atmetocranium myersi* (Acc. Number MH556913).

* 1. ***DNA sequence variation and phylogenetic analysis***

In total there were 665 psyllid DNA sequences used (plus three aphid sequences used as the outgroup), paired by specimen for each locus (Table SM1). This included sequences from all 90 psyllid taxa identified as present in New Zealand (Martoni *et al*. 2018) with at least two specimens from each species used where possible, plus ten species from Australia, Europe and USA. In addition, 16 sequences for the same COI and 18S gene regions were obtained from GenBank from six psyllid taxa, which were two species of *Trioza* (*T. remora and T. urticae*), two species of *Psylla* (*P. alni and P. buxi*), and the species *Rhinocola aceris* and *Heterospylla texana*. The pea aphid, *Acyrthosiphon pisum*, was used as an outgroup (Table SM1).

For each gene, DNA sequences were manually quality-checked, and alignments performed using MEGA version X (Kumar *et al.* 2018). Phylogenetic and molecular evolutionary analyses were conducted using MEGA version X (Kumar *et al.* 2018). Maximum likelihood [ML] phylogenetic trees were then constructed using the Kimura-2-parameter [K2P] model (Kimura 1980) with a bootstrap of 10,000 replicates (Figures SM1 and SM2).

The best substitution model for each gene alignment was calculated in MEGA X using the Bayesian information criterion (BIC, Schwarz 1978). The General Time-Reversible (GTR) model (Tavaré 1986) was used for COI, the Tamura and Nei (TN93, Tamura and Nei 1993) model for 18S and the Hasegawa, Kishino, Yano (HKY) model (Hasegawa *et al.* 1985) for EF-1α. A three-gene species tree was developed using the package Starbeast (\*BEAST, Heled and Drummond 2010) in BEAST v2.5.1, with the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method (Drummond *et al.* 2012; Bouckaert *et al.* 2014; Bouckaert *et al.* 2018) and multiple chains of 1 billion replicates each.

Each model was selected together with a gamma distribution with a rate of 4. The mitochondrial gene COI was set to a 0.5 ploidy compared to the 2.0 for both 18S and EF-1α, as suggested for multi-gene analyses (Drummond and Bouckaert 2015). The software Tracer v1.7 (Rambaut *et al.* 2018) was used for visualization and diagnostics of the MCMC output. This confirmed that the Bayesian analysis had reached convergence and the resulting estimated sample size (ESS) was >>200 (508). LogCombiner was used to subsample the number of trees from 500000 to 100000. TreeAnnotator (Drummond *et al.* 2012; Bouckaert *et al.* 2014) was used to summarize the information in a single tree and to set a 10% burn-in based on the information visualized with Tracer. The resulting species tree was drawn using FigTree v1.4.3 (Rambaut 2016).

* 1. ***Microbiome sequencing***

The V3 and V4 regions of the bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA gene were amplified from a total of 220 whole insect specimens (Table S1), encompassing 65 species across 178 populations collected both in New Zealand and in Australia. DNA extractions, amplification and purification were performed in a Physical Containment [PC2] facility in order to minimize the risk of environmental contamination. Sixteen of the 200 individuals were sequenced twice (as technical replicates), in order to confirm the consistency of the results (Table 1). Amplification was conducted using 16S\_F and 16S\_R primers (Klindworth *et al.* 2013), modified with Illumina adapters as per the Illumina 16S Metagenomic protocol 15044223 Rev. B (available at https://support.illumina.com/downloads/16s\_metagenomic\_sequencing\_library\_preparation.html). PCR amplification was performed using an initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 25 cycles of 95°C denaturation for 30 s, 55°C annealing for 30 s and 72°C elongation for 30 s. A final 72°C elongation was performed for 5 min. Amplicons were verified on 1% agarose gel and checked for absence of visible bands in control samples, then purified using the Agencourt® AMPure® XP kit (Beckman Coulter, Brea, California, United States). The concentrations of PCR products were measured using a NanoDrop 1000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, United States) and samples at concentrations between 10 ng/μL and 50 ng/μL were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform using 2x300bp reads at New Zealand Genomics Limited (NZGL).

* 1. **Microbiome bioinformatics**

ANOVA was used to test if differences between alpha diversity statistics could be explained by psyllid species. To test if differences in microbiome alpha diversity between psyllids was related to phylogeny,

using symmetric mode (no assumptions of either phylogeny driving the evolution of the other)jacknifeIndividual links were considered as significantly supporting cophylogenetic congrence if their upper 95% confidence interval was below the mean of all squared jacknife residuals (ref).

**Results**

* 1. ***Evolutionary relationships amongst the New Zealand psyllid fauna***

The 460 psyllid COI sequences aligned here had 211 parsimony informative sites and showed high intra-generic nucleotide variation resulting in more than 200 haplotypes (Figure 1a). Accordingly, the COI ML tree (Figure SM1) could successfully separate all the taxa included here, as also previously reported for the original subset of sequences (Martoni *et al.* 2018). However, deeper nodes at family and genus level were not well supported.

The 184 18S sequences (from 100 taxa) had 49 parsimony informative sites and a total of 57 haplotypes after excluding five regions within the sequences that had insertions/deletions (Figure 1b). Therefore, while the 18S haplotype network (Figure 1b) could generally separate the different genera (with three exceptions, Figure 1), it often failed to separate different species, especially within the genera *Acizzia, Trioza* and *Ctenarytaina* (Figure 1b). Overall, the 18S ML tree showed a stronger bootstrap value for the nodes separating genera, compared to the COI ML tree that instead had stronger support for the nodes separating species (Figures SM1 and SM2).

The species tree inferred using the three alignments showed a structure with generally high posterior probability values at species, genus and family level (Figure 2). Here, the New Zealand native psyllids (determined as those hosted by New Zealand native plants; Martoni *et al.* 2016) fell into six lineages with the major clades represented by the genera *Ctenarytaina* (Aphalaridae)*, Psylla* (Psyllidae) and *Trioza* (two clades) (Triozidae), plus one lineage each for the genera *Atmetocranium* (Calophyidae) and *Anomalopsylla* (Aphalaridae). The species adventive to New Zealand (all the other genera, Table 1) were divided into a total of six families.

Within the subfamily Spondyliaspidinae (Aphalaridae), the New Zealand ***Ctenarytaina***formed a monophyletic clade, closely related to five Australian *Ctenarytaina* which formed another monophyletic clade diverged by 2.1% (Figure 2). Other genera within the same subfamily were more distant to New Zealand *Ctenarytaina*, ranging between the 4.1% of *Glycaspis* and the 4.75% of *Creiis* and *Cardiaspina* (Figure 2). Within the New Zealand *Ctenarytaina,* three taxa from *Fuchsia* *excorticate* (Onagraceae) were the earliest branching group. The second clade contained *Ctenarytaina* sp. A hosted by *Olearia* (Asteraceae) and the taxa from Myrtaceae.


Separated from the other genera of the Aphalaridae, the genus ***Anomalopsylla*** clusters together with European species *Rhinocola aceris.*

The New Zealand *Psylla* formed a monophyletic group. This includes two described species and five undescribed taxa diverging more than 3.2% (3.23%) from the closest *Psylla* species from Europe. The subfamily Psyllinae forms a monophyletic group including the New Zealand *Psylla* and other non-New Zealand species, comprising the adventive genera *Baeopelma* and *Arytainilla*, and European *Psylla buxi* and *P. alni*, but also the North American *Heteropsylla texana* (Ciriacreminae). The Psyllinae are well separated by a 1.9% divergence from the other monophyletic Psyllidae subfamily, the Acizzinae, represented in New Zealand by the Australian genus *Acizzia.*

Within the Triozidae, all but one of the 31 native New Zealand *Trioza* species cluster into a single monophyletic clade (Figure 2). The closest non-New Zealand relative was the European *T. urticae*, although the bootstrap support for this relationship was low. The native *T. curta* diverged from the other New Zealand *Trioza* by 4%, demonstrating a separate ancestral introduction. *Trioza curta* formed a monophyletic association with *T. adventicia,* an adventive species from Australia that is hosted by another Myrtaceae species, *Syzygium* *smithii* (Percy 2017; Martoni 2017). The Australian triozids formed a monophyletic clade (including New Zealand’s *T. curta*) but with very weak affinities to one another. Beside the New Zealand species of the genus *Trioza,* the family Triozidae included the adventive species of the genera *Acanthocasuarina, Bactericera, Trioza* (from Australia and Europe)*, Casuarinicola* and an Australian triozid species collected from the host plant *Casuarina*. *Trioza* species not native to New Zealand included two spp. from Europe (*T. urticae* and *T. remota*) and one from Australia (*T. tricornuta*)*.* With the European *T. urticae* branching at the base of the major New Zealand group (including all but two species) while the New Zealand taxa *T. curta* clustered with *T. adventicia* and the rest of the Triozidae.

**Table 1: Psyllid taxa analysed** divided by families, subfamilies and genera, with number of species and individuals samples. The subfamily Atmetocraniinae (in red) is only tentatively assigned here to none of the current families. For each psyllid genus, the genes used in the analysis are marked with a tick (**).** Details on the psyllid species and their host plants are reported in Table SM1.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Family** | **Subfamily** | **Genus** | **Species** | **Samples** | **COI** | **18S** | **EF-1α** |
| Aphalaridae | Rhinocolinae | *Anomalopsylla* | 2 | 7 | **** | **** | **** |
|  |  | *Rhinocola* | 1 | 1 | **** | **** |  |
|  | Spondyliaspidinae | *Anoeconeossa* | 1 | 3 | **** | **** | **** |
|  |  | *Blastopsylla* | 1 | 6 | **** | **** | **** |
|  |  | *Cardiaspina* | 1 | 3 | **** | **** | **** |
|  |  | *Creiis* | 1 | 1 | **** | **** | **** |
|  |  | *Cryptoneossa* | 1 | 10 | **** | **** | **** |
|  |  | *Ctenarytaina* | 20 | 132 | **** | **** | **** |
|  |  | *Eucalyptolyma* | 1 | 2 | **** | **** | **** |
|  |  | *Glycaspis* | 1 | 2 | **** | **** | **** |
|  | Atmetocraniinae | *Atmetocranium* | 1 | 2 |  | **** | **** |
| Calophyidae | Calophyinae | *Calophya* | 1 | 4 | **** | **** |  |
| Liviidae | Euphyllurinae | *Psyllopsis* | 2 | 7 | **** | **** | **** |
|  |  | *Diaphorina* | 1 | 2 | **** | **** | **** |
| Homotomidae | Macrohomotominae | *Mycopsylla* | 1 | 5 | **** | **** | **** |
| Psyllidae | Acizzinae | *Acizzia* | 12 | 84 | **** | **** | **** |
|  | Psyllinae | *Arytainilla* | 1 | 4 | **** | **** |  |
|  |  | *Baeopelma* | 1 | 2 | **** | **** | **** |
|  |  | *Psylla* | 9 | 39 | **** | **** |  |
|  | Ciriacreminae | *Heteropsylla* | 1 | 1 | **** | **** |  |
| *Triozidae* |  | *Bactericera* | 2 | 7 | **** | **** | **** |
|  |  | Triozid sp. | 1 | 3 | **** | **** |  |
|  |  | *Casuarinicola* | 1 | 3 | **** | **** | **** |
|  |  | *Trioza* | 35 | 130 | **** | **** |  |
|  |  | *Acanthocasuarina* | 1 | 1 | **** | **** |  |



**Figure 1:** **Species tree of the New Zealand Psylloidea included in this study.** The tree was inferred from 668 DNA sequences of partial COI, EF-1α and 18S genes using BEAST v.2.5.0. The families Psyllidae (orange), Triozidae (red) and Calophyidae (*Calophya schini;* yellow), together with *Diaphorina citri* (black) form the PTCD clade, as reported in (Percy *et al.* 2018). *Acyrthosiphon pisum* (Hemiptera) was used as an out group. New Zealand endemic species are indicated with black squares on branches showing ancestral arrivals of native species. Posterior probability values at the nodes are reported in red when ranging between 0.9 and 1; in green when between 0.7 and 0.89; and in blue when between 0.6 and 0.69. Posterior probability values lower than 0.6 are not reported.

* 1. ***Microbiome results***

**Bacterial diversity**

Following quality filtering a total of 9,258,360 sequences were retailed from 246 specimens of 74 species (mean = 37636; se= 2503; range = 1177-231159) with a total of 621 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) (mean =42.3; se=1.52; range = 5-151). The ASV’s recovered represented 21 distinct bacterial phyla and 126 unique genera. The most prevalent bacterial phyla was Proteobacteria (all 246 specimens), followed by Bacteroidota (237 specimens), Actinobacteriota (192 specimens) and Firmicutes (165 specimens). This was similarly reflected In their abundance, with Proteobacteria also being the most abundant bacterial phyla, accounting for 8,486,237 sequence reads (91.% of dataset), followed by Bacteroidota (2.6%), Firmicutes (1.07%), and Actinobactriota (0.4%). Within the phylum Proteobacteria, the orders Burkholderiales, Rhizobiales, Gammaproteobacteria Incertae Sedis (an order within the Silva 138 taxonomy containing the primary symbiont Candidatus Carsonella) and Enterobacterales were the most prevalent, while Enterobacterales, Rikketsiales and Pseudomonadales were the most abundant (Fig n). At the genus level, the most abundant taxon was Wolbachia, which occurred in a high titre across many samples and accounted for 19% of the total sequences, followed by Sodalis (8.2%) and Arsenophonus (5.4). In contrast, the most prevalent genera were Aquabacterium (233 specimens), Candidatus Carsonella (217 specimens), Sulfuriatalea (208 specimens) and sediminibacterium (199 specimens). Curiously, the primary symbiont Candidatus Carsonella was not found in all specimens, however due to its generally low relative abundance within all samples and high deviation (mean= 5.8%, sd=14.1%) this absence in certain specimens could be due to stochastic processes and insufficient sequencing depth.

Alpha diversity

ANOVA found statistically significant differences between psyllid species for observed ASV richness (F(73, 172) = 2.47, p < .001), Shannons index (F(73, 172) = 3.02, p < .001), and phylogenetic diversity (F(73, 172) = 2.72, p < .001), all with large effects (partial omega squared = 0.37, 0.37 and 0.34 respectively). The differences in ASV richness was found to be significantly associated with psyllid phylogeny using both autocorrelation (I=0.24, p<0.001), and Brownian motion statistics (K = 0.34, λ = 0.84 p<0.001). Similar was found for Shannons index (I= 0.018, K=0.21, λ = 0.78, p<0.001). On the other hand, the phylogenetic diversity of microbes within each psyllid sample was not found to be significantly autocorrelated with phylogeny with most measures (I= 0.009, p=0.058), K=0.08, p=0.11, λ = 0.648, p<0.05). Significant local autocorrelation between richness and Shannons indexand the psyllid phylogeny was concentrated around the Ctenarytaina clavata clade, and the Trioza acuta clade (fig n). To ensure robustness to different sample sizes, the data was rarefied to the smallest sample and these test repeated, with the same conclusions (Supplementary n).

Beta diversity

For microbial beta diversity, both psyllid species (adonis test on Aitchison distances, R2 = 0.47, p<0.001) and hostplant species (R2 = 0.35, p<0.001) both had significant explanatory components. Post-hoc analysis revealed the differences mainly lay between

Variation in the microbiome composition of different psyllid species feeding on the same individual plant was further considered in order to assess if there were any microbiome-host plant specific relationships. Two analyses were performed on samples belonging to two psyllid species of the Fraxinus-feeding genus Psyllopsis, P. fraxinicola and P. fraxini, and on two species belonging to the Sophora-feeding genus Psylla, P. apicalis A and P. apicalis B.

Phylosymbiosis

To determine if the significant effect of psyllid and hostplant species on microbiome composition was due to shared evolutionary history, matrix correlation tests were conducted between the microbiome beta diversities and the psyllid phylogenetic distance, hostplant phylogenetic distance and spatial distance. Mantel tests found significant positive correlation between microbiome and psyllid phylogenetic distance (r=0.23, p<0.001) but no significant correlation between microbiome and hostplant phylogenetic distance (r=0.04, p=0.19), or microbiome and spatial distance (r=0.02, p=0.65) (Fig n A). Similarly, partial mantel tests found significant positive correlation between microbiome and psyllid phylogenetic distance when hostplant distance and spatial distance were controlled for (r=0.22, p<0.001), and found no significant correlation between microbiome and hostplant phylogenetic distance, when psyllid phylogenetic distance and spatial distance where controlled for (r=-0.02, p=0.55) or spatial distance when psyllid and hostplant phylogenetic distances were controlled for (r=0.024, p=0.65) (Fig n B). To ensure patterns of phylosymbiosis were not being driven only by the known symbionts correlation analyses were repeated without the class Gammmaproteobacteria. With this subset data a significant albeit reduced correlation was found between the microbiome and psyllid phylogenetic distance for both Mantel (r=0.2, p<0.05) and Partial Mantel tests (r=0.2, p<0.05), while hostplant and spatial distance remained insignificant (supplementary n).

In order to investigate the phylogenetic scale of the phylosymbiosis patterns, beta diversity through time analysis was used to slice the microbiome phylogeny at 10Mya timepoints and recalculate partial Mantel correlations. Microbiome beta diversity and Psyllid phylogenetic distance remained significantly correlated at all timeslices with the correlation peaking at approximately 100 Mya, before falling to a plateau at approximately 500Mya (Fig n C) . In contrast, the correlation between microbiome and hostplant phylogenetic distance, as well as microbiome and spatial distance was not significant at any point in the time slices.

Cophylogeny

compared using the PACo algorithm, which quantifies the global fit based on the sum of squared residual distances between the phylogenetic interaction graphs following a procrustean superimposition (the lower m2xy, the more support for a hypothesis of cohpylogeny). Using this approach, the cophylogenetic signal between the psyllid phylogeny and the microbiome beta diversity was found to be highly significant (m2xy=0.21, p<0.001) with none of the permuted instances showing more signal than the observed network. When looking at the contribution of specific interactions to the global fit, unsurprisingly links associated with the primary symbiont Candidatus carsonella rudii sequences showed high levels of congruence with a hypothesis of cophylogeny. However in addition to the primary symbiont, many other bacterial genera also showed high congruence with a hypothesis of cophylogeny, including Pseudomonus, Sediminibacterium, Aquabacterium . While many of these taxa were not as prevalent as Carsonella throughout the diversity of psyllid taxathey could represent secondary symbionts.

When cophylogeny was assessed between the psyllid species and the Candidatus Carsonella ASVs alone (considering only the top abundance Carsonella ASVs per sample to remove any potential impacts of index switching), the cophylogenetic signal was still significant, but decreased compared to the level of congruence seen in the entire assemblage (m2xy=0.43, p<0.001).

When cophylogenetic congruence was assessed between hosplants and psyllid, significant congruences was still seen compared to randomizations (m2xy=0.72, p<0.001), but the fit was less between the two phylogenies compared to the fit with microbiome. When just the genus trioza was compared to the microbiome and the hostplants, while both were significant compared to randomisations, the congruence between the Trioza and the microbiome(m2xy=, p<0.001) was greater than the congruencel between trioza and hostplants (m2xy=0.63, p<0.001).

Hostplant psyllid cophylogeny

Cophylogenetic signal between hostplants and psyllids (

(write out values)

1. **Discussion**
   1. ***A philogenetic structure of the New Zealand psyllids.***

The general shape of the species tree, especially at a taxonomic level, matches the most recent phylogenetic works on the Psylloidea (e.g., Percy *et al.* 2018; Cho *et al.* 2019). The families Psyllidae, Triozidae and Calophyidae, together with the species *Diaphorina citri,* form the PTCD clade (Percy *et al.* 2018), at the top of the tree, and were separated from the family Liviidae, represented in New Zealand by the two non-native species of the genus *Psyllopsis*, with maximum posterior probability support (Figure 1). Similarly, the family Homotomidae is represented by a single adventive species, *Mycopsylla fici,* branching with maximum posterior probability (Figure 1). At the base of the tree, the family Aphalaridae appears to be paraphyletic, with the subfamily Rhinocolinae branching earlier than the Spondyliaspidinae, in accordance with the most recent works (Cho *et al.* 2019). Separated from all the other families, a single branch that included the species *Atmetocranium myersi* showed no affinity to any other group.

Therefore, the species tree obtained here appears robust and well supported at both deeper and shallower nodes, despite the use of a relatively small number of markers, supporting the previously defined taxonomic relationships between species, genera and families, as defined by their morphological characteristics (Burckhardt and Ouvrard 2012). Hence, this phylogenetic structure can be used further for comparisons and to determine evolutionary history for a number of New Zealand psyllid groups.

* 1. ***Ancestral arrivals of today’s New Zealand endemic psyllids.***

Many New Zealand insect taxa are known to have been derived by dispersal rather than vicariance (Goldberg *et al.* 2008, Buckley *et al.* 2015). Previous work on the origin and evolution of the New Zealand psyllids (Dale 1985) regarded the presence of genera common to New Zealand, New Caledonia, Australia or South America (i.e. *Ctenarytaina* and *Psylla*) as a possible explanation for a Gondwanan origin for these insects (Dale 1985), as opposed to a dispersal. This interpretation was probably influenced by the outdated view that the New Zealand native biota was primarily a product of long-standing geographical isolation resulting from the Gondwanan split, happened approximately 80 Mya (Goldberg *et al.* 2008). Based on the molecular phylogeny presented here, we could confirm monophyly for a number of New Zealand native psyllid genera, suggesting the present fauna of these groups is the results of separate arrivals into the region.

This study suggests that all New Zealand *Trioza* species (except *T. curta*) form a monophyletic grouping that appears to have come from a single arrival to New Zealand. These New Zealand *Trioza* were not clearly related to the Australian triozids represented here. However, the Australian triozids are also distant from one another, suggesting that they may not be a natural monophyletic group. This could be the result of a previous arrival to Australia by multiple dispersals or it could suggest they are the remnants of a larger Australian group that has lost members over time.In order to understand the origin of the New Zealand *Trioza* a more complete sampling of triozids from the Asia-Pacific is required. In particular, it would be advantageous to study *T. oleariae* Froggatt 1903, from Tasmania which is hosted by *Olearia* (Asteraceae) (Hollis 2004), as are many of the New Zealand *Trioza*. Consequently, T. curta appears to be from a second distinct ancestral arrival or even of Australian origin, considering how it appears to be genetically similar to *T. adventicia.*

Another example of monophyly that suggests a separate arrival is presented by the genus *Psylla,* recording seven species in New Zealand, while being absent in Australia. The identity of New Zealand *Psylla* species has been hypothesised to be different to that of their European counterparts based on morphological characters, possibly placing them in a new genus (Martoni *et al.* 2016). The results obtained here appear to be in agreement with this hypothesis, showing a well-supported separation between the New Zealand species and their European counterparts. The two other *Psylla* species in the analysis, *P. buxi* and *P. alni* from Europe, were relatively distant from the New Zealand taxa. The fact that the European *Psylla* appear more closely related to other genera in the Psyllidae (*Baeopelma* and *Arytainilla* - subfamily Psyllinae; *Heteropsylla* subfamily Ciriacreminae) than they are to the New Zealand *Psylla* (subfamily Psyllinae) is consistent with the hypothesis that the New Zealand clade belong to an entirely different genus. Morphological characters such as 8-segmented antennae and marginal setae on the caudal plate place New Zealand *Psylla* within the Psyllinae but outside *Psylla* (Martoni *et al.* 2016). Nevertheless, the taxa included here are insufficient to determine the closest relatives of the New Zealand *Psylla*; inclusion of those from Fiji and the Asia/Pacific region would be necessary.

A fourth arrival is hypothesised here for the New Zealand species of the genus *Ctenarytaina.* There are five *Ctenarytaina* species in Australia (Ouvrard 2019) and the fact a number of other psyllids species arrived in New Zealand from there in recent times (Martoni *et al.* 2016), an Australian origin of the New Zealand *Ctenarytaina* species could be hypothesised. Nonetheless, a number of *Ctenarytaina* species are also distributed across the Pacific islands, e.g. *C. distincta* (Tuthill, 1943) from Fiji, *C. lulla* Tuthill, 1942 and *C. remota* Tuthill, 1956 from French Polynesia (Ouvrard 2019; Tuthill, 1942, 1943, 1956), which highlights the importance of wider geographic collections in the future, as already stated elsewhere (Martoni and Armstrong 2019a). Possible alternative sources to Australia is also consistent with the fact that there are no *Psylla* in Australia (Hollis 2004; Ouvrard 2019). But their worldwide presence includes *P. compta* Crawford, 1919 in Fiji as the closest location to New Zealand (Ouvrard 2019).

A fifth ancestral arrival is that of the genus *Anomalopsylla.* The phylogenetic position of *Anomalopsylla* here is consistent with recent taxonomic classifications placing this genus in the family Aphalaridae. Polyphyly of this family is consistent with the subfamily division, with the subfamily Rhinocolinae (including *Rhinocola* and *Anomalopsylla* - Burckhardt and Ouvrard 2012) separated from the Spondyliaspidinae (all other genera). While the subfamily Rhinocolinae includes 13 genera distributed worldwide (Burckhardt and Lauterer, 1989), *Anomalopsylla* is the only genus present in the Asia-Pacific area and the only one hosted by Asteraceae. The addition to the analysis of the European species *Rhinocola aceris* highlighted how this species is relatively phylogenetically close to *Anomalopsylla.*

The sixth ancestral arrival is *Atmetocranium myersi,* the only representative of this genus. This species showed no phylogenetic affinity with any other psyllid species or family.In a recent morphological classification of the Psylloidea, *Atmetocranium* was tentatively placed within the Calophyidae, because of its distinctive metatibia (Burckhardt and Ouvrard 2012). The Calophyidae includes at least 118 species (Ouvrard 2019), but none are native to New Zealand. *Atmetocranium* was earlier placed with the Aphalaridae, based on wing morphology (Klimaszewski 1964). Based on the results obtained here, it would appear that it does not belong to any of the Aphalaridae subfamilies included here. Samples from the other three subfamilies (Aphalarinae, Pachypsyllinae and Togepsyllinae) will need to be analysed before a linkage between *Atmetocranium* and Aphalaridae can be dismissed. Furthermore, the superfamily Psylloidea includes another two families not present in New Zealand, Carsidaridae and Phacopteronidae (Burckhardt and Ouvrard 2012), that appear to be genetically close to the family Aphalaridae (Percy *et al.* 2018). However, *A. myersi* has also been noted as having a “highly autapomorphic morphology which makes it difficult to relate to other psylloid groups” (Mifsud and Burckhardt 2002). This detail appears to be in agreement with the results obtained here, showing a clear separation between *Atmetocranium* and all the other psyllid families presented in this study. This, together with its peculiar morphology (Mifsud and Burckhardt 2002), suggest that *Atmetocranium* could belong to an entirely new psyllid family.

* 1. ***Radiation and host plant association of the New Zealand Trioza***

Confirming a trend widely accepted elsewhere for psyllids (e.g., Ouvrard *et al.* 2015), New Zealand *Ctenarytaina* and *Psylla* are associated with only one or a few host plant families (Burckhardt *et al.* 2014). On the other hand, worldwide, *Trioza* shows an unusually large range of plant genera associations, with a recent study listing 346 *Trioza* psyllid species on 154 plant genera in 59 plant families (Ouvrard *et al.* 2015). However, it is unclear if *Trioza* psyllids are more prone to host switching, or if the potentially polyphyletic nature of *Trioza* may distort the breadth of host-plant associated with this genus (Ouvrard *et al.* 2015). The New Zealand species were known to be consistent with the worldwide genus in that they do occupy many different host plant families (Martoni *et al.* 2016), but the lack of a phylogenetic structure could not clarify if the genus was monophyletic.

The results of this phylogenetic analysis suggest the monophyly of the New Zealand *Trioza*, hence demonstrating that *Trioza* species have indeed acquired a large number of new hosts since arriving in New Zealand, and that this is not a case of polyphyly. Therefore, pairing the phylogeny of the New Zealand *Trioza* analysed in this study with their host plants shows for the first time how the radiation of the psyllids developed on multiple plant genera and families after the arrival of the first ancestral *Trioza* psyllid to New Zealand.

For example, the psyllids’ association with the most common host plant family (Asteraceae), hosting 14 *Trioza* species, appears to be the result of multiple colonization events from these insects. With a cluster of 12 closely related psyllid species positioned apically in the tree (Figure 3, left) suggesting a more recent colonization event as compared to the association between *T.* “Massey” or *T.* “Fortrose”, distant from the other Asteraceae feeding psyllids. Similarly, a single psyllid species in the cluster, *T. decurvata*, is found on *Dracophyllum* (Ericaceae) which, although positioned within the same major plant clade as the Asteraceae, is remote from it. Prior to this study, Asteraceae had been thought to be the ancestral host of New Zealand *Trioza* based on morphology and host associations (Martoni *et al.* 2016). However, the host association with Asteraceae was not clear-cut; while one of the earliest diverging species, *T.* “Massey” has an Asteraceae host, most of the remaining Asteraceae inhabiting psyllids appeared to have derived from a more recent host adoption/speciation event.

Another example is the association between the psyllids *T. colorata* and *T. dacrydii* with the Podocarpaceae (*Halocarpus bidwillii*), a conifer lineage. These two psyllid species are branching within the broader clade of *Trioza*, suggesting a shift from an angiosperm host within New Zealand.

These results also suggest that the New Zealand *Trioza* has evolved becoming more speciose than any other genus, including the *Ctenarytaina* and the *Psylla* which branched at the same time. However, survey technique should be considered given more than half of the *Psylla* (4 out of 7 New Zealand species) and 80% of the *Ctenarytaina* (12 out of 15 New Zealand species) is composed of undescribed species, as compared to only 35% for the *Trioza* (11 out of 31 New Zealand species).

* 1. ***The microbial diversity harboured by the New Zealand psyllids***
     1. Symbiotic bacteria

The microbial dataset generated and analysed here included 236 psyllids, belonging to 65 species, 18 genera and six families. This is a significant advance on that of previous such studies that either focused on a smaller taxonomic range of insects, such as the Australian genus *Cardiaspina* (Hall *et al.* 2016) or used different techniques that generated smaller numbers of sequences (Thao *et al.* 2000b, Spaulding and von Dohlen 2001).

As expected, given the obligate status of *Candidatus* Carsonella rudiias primary symbiont in psyllids (Thao *et al.* 2000a, Thao *et al.* 2001, Hall *et al.* 2016), this was recorded in all the samples here, confirming its role as primary symbiont. Alignment of the different sequences and the construction of a Maximum Likelihood tree suggest that the radiation of this bacterium matched the psyllid phylogeny (Figure 3). This supports the long-lasting *Carsonella-*psyllids coevolution hypothesis, possibly originated from a single, ancestral infection (Thao *et al.* 2000a, Thao *et al.* 2001, Hall *et al.* 2016).

Beside *Carsonella,* the family Enterobacteriaceae was the most abundant (Table 5.1). We identified 78 distinct Enterobacteriaceae OTUs, only some of which identified as *Sodalis*. When compared to sequences on GenBank, some of the *Sodalis* sequences obtained here showed a 91%-94% similarity with *Sodalis* sequences. However, other Enterobacteriaceae sequences showed different similarities to other sequences belonging to this family. For example, some were closely associated with the genus *Arsenophonus.* However, they also show a distribution across the different psyllid species which is sometimes limited to a single Enterobacteriaceae per taxa. For example, *Hamiltonella* was present only in *Anomalopsylla,* highlighting a strict psyllid-Enterobacteriaceae relationship. This is in agreement with recent work suggesting coevolution between psyllids and S-symbionts and may indicate an obligate instead of the anticipated facultative symbiosis (Hall *et al.* 2016). A less strict symbiosis than that with the P-symbiont also supports the concept of a dual nature for psyllid S-symbionts, suggesting they could be both vertically and horizontally transmitted as hypothesised elsewhere (Hall *et al.* 2016).

The role of other Enterobacteriaceae genera, such as *Arsenophonus*, *Sodalis, Schneideria* and *Blochmannia,* as a S-symbiont of insects has been widely reported for other insects, such as Glossinidae flies (Diptera) (Aksoy *et al.* 1997), lygaeid stinkbugs (Matsuura *et al.* 2012), carpenters ants (Schroder *et al*. 1996; Sauer *et al.* 2000) and a weevil (Heddi *et al.* 1998). This may explain why, with the exception of *Schneideria*, all Enterobacteriaceae have been previously assumed as S-symbionts of psyllids as well (e.g., Thao *et al.* 2000b; Hall *et al.* 2016). Ultimately, the results obtained here suggest that some Enterobacteriaceae S-symbionts of psyllids have a strong history of coevolution with the members of this insect superfamily. The ~90% sequence similarity with the closer sequences on the database reported here unfortunately does not enable these bacteria to be clearly assigned to any specific genera, for which further analyses using multiple markers will be needed. Such an approach has been taken for a S-symbiont phylogeny but was restricted to only 16 psyllid species (Hall *et al.* 2016).

* + 1. The Psyllids-bacteria relationship: a new case of phylosymbiosis.

Alpha diversity is the diversity of organisms in one environment (Whittaker 1960), and the environment considered in this study is the insect. However, diversity of the microbial composition is a function of the sequencing depth, which is extremely variable where extremes of low sequencing depth may still capture high diversity or high sequencing depth may still result in low apparent diversity (Figure 5). In fact, a variation can be clearly observed with samples recording a number of reads even higher than 80,000 (for more than 100 bacterial OTUs) while others have just a few thousands reads. Diversity has also been demonstrated here to be a function of the individual PCR characteristics (Figure?). For this reason, the number of OTUs recorded and the alpha diversity generated could be biased by the number of specimens analysed for each species. Consequently, the sample with the smallest number of reads has been used to rarefy the plot in Figure 6. While aware of the limitations of rarefaction (McMurdie and Holmes 2014), this allowed the alpha diversity to be compared between the different psyllid species as if every sample had the same number of sequences. The rarefied test shows how the microbiome diversity compares amongst species within the same genus and family (Figure 6).

After confirming that the microbial composition of psyllids was not randomly distributed (Alpha and Beta diversity) and associating this variation with psyllid taxa (ADONIS approach accounting for the 60% of the variation in the microbial composition), the microbial composition was shown to be more similar in closely related psyllid species. Thus, considering the P-symbiont and pool of S-symbionts, the phylogeny of the insect would be expected to be the predominant driver of microbiota structure. In fact, studies elsewhere focusing both on the P-symbiont (Thao et al. 2000a, Spaulding and von Dohlen 2001, Thao et al. 2001, Hall et al. 2016) and on the S-symbionts (Thao et al. 2000b, Hall et al. 2016, Morrow et al. 2017), showed different degrees of association between psyllids and their symbionts. This includes recent studies confirming degrees of vertical transmission for S-symbionts (Hall et al. 2016). Moreover this signal of a strong association of the “group of common OTUs” with the insect phylogeny is in accordance with the recently defined insects-bacteria relationship of “phylosymbiosis” (Brooks et al. 2016). In fact, not only the P-symbiont, but also the group of S-symbionts recorded here appears to be a component of the psyllids microflora composition strongly connected with the insects evolution.

Geography may also influence distribution of the P- and S-symbionts as indicated here with the same psyllid species collected in New Zealand compared to Australia showing different P- and S-symbiont composition. This has similarly been recorded for *D. citri,* which shows genetic variation in its P-symbionts between populations in Asia and in the United States (Wang et al. 2017). However, a Mantel test in this study showed relatively little signal associated with the geographical distribution of the species collected in New Zealand. Possibly, a more extensive sampling across a wider area could report bio-geographic associations as those recently presented for the nettle-psyllid, *Trioza urticae,* in Europe (Wonglersak et al. 2017).

Given the specificity of psyllids to their plant hosts, close association of the microbes to the species of psyllid may alsosuggest that microbial composition could depend on the psyllid host plants. However, the Mantel and Partial Mantel tests confirmed that, while the microbial composition is highly correlated (almost 40%) to the genetic distance between insects after accounting for the host plant variation, inverting the variables does not support plants as a driver; the host plant associations are responsible for just 15% of the microbial composition after accounting for the psyllid genetic distance. Similarly, the different psyllid species collected from the same individual plants, but which gave different Enterobacteriaceae OTUs, also showed different levels of *Wolbachia.* These observations are consistent with the idea that the psyllid microbial composition is influenced by the psyllid species and not the plant.

Together, the analyses here support the strong correlation between the insects’ phylogenetic relationships and the microbiome, with evolutionary changes in the insect -such as speciation and radiation- resulting in changes in the microbiome. Therefore, these results suggest that the insect-bacteria associations can be understood in light of the “phylosymbiosis” theory shown by congruence between the psyllids evolutionary history and the associated microbial communities. Accordingly, as phylosymbiosis is inferred as the major driver of bacterial composition, then host plant specificity of the psyllids does not appear to be driven by symbionts as was proposed by Hansen and Moran (2014). In fact, the current study showed at least two instances where closely related species feeding on the same host plant show different symbiotic bacteria.

**Acknowledgements.**

The authors would like to acknowledge the support of the Australian Plant Biosecurity Research Centre (PBCRC). Thanks to Susan Halbert (FSCA) for helping FM collecting psyllids in Florida, USA. Thanks to Marona Rovira Capdevila, Samuel Brown for helpful suggestions. Part of the data published in this article was reported in FM’s PhD thesis (Martoni 2017).

**References.**

* Abouheif, E. 1999. A method for testing the assumption of phylogenetic independence in comparative data. Evolutionary Ecology Research, 1:895–909.
* Ahmed, M.Z., Breinholt, J.W., Kawahara, A.Y. 2016. Evidence for common horizontal transmission of Wolbachia among butterflies and moths. Bmc Evolutionary Biology, 16.
* Aksoy, S., Chen, X., Hypsa, V. 1997. Phylogeny and potential transmission routes of midgut-associated endosymbionts of tsetse (Diptera: Glossinidae). Insect Molecular Biology, 6: 183-190.
* Baumann, P. 2005. Biology of bacteriocyte-associated endosymbionts of plant sap-sucking insects. Annual Review of Microbiology 59: 155-189.
* Bekker-Migdisova, E.E. 1973. Systematics of the Psyllomorpha and the position of the group within the order Homoptera. In: Narchuk, E.P. (Ed.), Doklady na Dvadsat Chetvertom Ezheghodnom Chtenii Pamyati N. Voprosy paleontologii nasekomykh, Leningrad, pp. 90-117.
* Bennett, A.E. 2013. Can plant–microbe–insect interactions enhance or inhibit the spread of invasive species? Functional Ecology, 27, 661–671
* Blomberg S.P., Garland T.Jr, Ives A.R. 2003. Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. Evolution, 57:717–745.
* Bouckaert, R., Heled, J., Kühnert, D., Vaughan, T., Wu, C-H., Xie, D., Suchard, MA., Rambaut, A., & Drummond, A. J., 2014. BEAST 2: A Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis. PLOS Computational Biology, 10(4), e1003537. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003537.
* Bouckaert, R., Vaughan, T.G., Barido-Sottani, J., Ducheene, S., Fourment, M., Gavryushkina, A., Heled, J., Jones, G., Kuhnert, D., De Maio, N., Matschiner, M., Mendes, F.K., Muller, N.F., Ogilvie, H., du Plessis, L., Popinga, A., Rambaut, A., Rasmussen, D., Siveroni, I., Suchard, M.A., Wu, C.-H., 2018. BEAST 2.5: An Advanced Software Platform for Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis. bioRxiv preprint first posted online Nov. 19, 2018; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/474296.
* Brooks, A.W., Kohl, K.D., Brucker, R.M., van Opstal, E.J., Bordenstein, S.R. 2016. Phylosymbiosis: Relationships and Functional Effects of Microbial Communities across Host Evolutionary History. Plos Biology, 14.
* Brown, R.G., Hodkinson, I.D. 1988. Taxonomy and Ecology of the Jumping Plant-Lice of Panama (Homoptera: Psylloidea). Behaviour, 107: 131-131.
* Brucker, R.M., Bordenstein, S.R. 2012. Speciation by symbiosis. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 27(8), 443-451.
* Buckley, T.R., Krosch, M., Leschen, R.A.B., 2015. Evolution of New Zealand insects: summary and prospectus for future research. Austral Entomology, 54, 1-27.
* Burckhardt, D., Lauterer, P. 1989. Systematics and biology of the Rhinocolinae (Homoptera: Psylloidea). Journal of Natural History, 23:3, 643-712, DOI: 10.1080/00222938900770371
* Burckhardt, D., Ouvrard, D. 2012. A revised classification of the jumping plant-lice (Hemiptera: Psylloidea). Zootaxa, 1-34.
* Burckhardt, D., Ouvrard, D., Queiroz, D., Percy, D. 2014. Psyllid Host-Plants (Hemiptera: Psylloidea): Resolving a Semantic Problem. Florida Entomologist, 97, 242-246.
* Bushnell, B. 2017. BBMap website, available at: sourceforge.net/projects/bbmap/
* Callahan, B.J., Sankaran, K., Fukuyama, J.A., McMurdie, P.J., Holmes, S.P. 2018. Bioconductor Workflow for Microbiome Data Analysis: from raw reads to community analyses [version 2; referees: 3 approved]
* Cho, G., Malenovsky´, I., Lee, S. 2019. Higher-level molecular phylogeny of jumping plant lice (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Psylloidea). Systematic Entomology, https://doi.org/10.1111/syen.12345 PMID: 30988552.
* Chu, C.C., Spencer, J.L., Curzi, M.J., Zavala, J.A., Seufferheld, M.J. 2013. Gut bacteria facilitate adaptation to crop rotation in the western corn rootworm. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 110: 11917-11922.
* Colman, D.R., Toolson, E.C., Takacs-Vesbach, C. 2012. Do diet and taxonomy influence insect gut bacterial communities? Molecular Ecology, 10/01; 2019/04;21(20):5124-5137.
* Dale, P.J. 1985. A review of the Psylloidea (Insecta: Hemiptera) of the New Zealand subregion. University of Auckland, Auckland.
* Douglas, A.E. 2016. How multi- partner endosymbioses function. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 14: 731-743.
* Drummond, A.J., Bouckaert, R.R. 2015. “Bayesian evolutionary analysis with BEAST”, Cambridge University Press. pp. 249.
* Drummond, A.J., Suchard, M.A., Xie, D., Rambaut, A. 2012. Bayesian Phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 29, 1969-1973.
* Edgar, R.C. 2018. Updating the 97% identity threshold for 16S ribosomal RNA OTUs. Bioinformatics, 34:2371–5.
* Fagen, J.R., Giongo, A., Brown, C.T., Davis-Richardson, A.G., Gano, K.A. & Triplett, E.W. 2012. Characterization of the relative abundance of the citrus pathogen *Ca.* Liberibacter asiaticus in the microbiome of its insect vector, *Diaphorina citri*, using high throughput 16S rRNA sequencing. Open Microbiology Journal, 6: 29–33.
* Ferris, G.F., Klyver, F.D. 1932. Report upon a Collection of Chermidae (Homoptera) from New Zealand. Transactions of the New Zealand Institute 63, 34–61.
* Frago, E., Dicke, M., Godfray, H.C.J. 2012. Insect symbionts as hidden players in insect-plant interactions. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 27: 705-711.
* Gloor, G.B., Macklaim, J.M., Pawlowsky-Glahn, V., Egozcue, J.J. 2017. Microbiome datasets are compositional: and this is not optional. Frontiers in microbiology, 8, 2224.
* Goldberg, J., Trewick, S.A., Paterson, A.M., 2008. Evolution of New Zealand’s terrestrial fauna: a review of molecular evidence. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 363, 3319-3334.
* Gonnella, E., Tedeschi, R., Crotti, E. and Alma, A. 2019. Multiple guests in a single host: interactions across symbiotic and phytopathogenic bacteria in phloem-feeding vectors – a review. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 167: 171–185. DOI: 10.1111/eea.12766.
* Hall, A.A.G., Morrow, J.L., Fromont, C., Steinbauer, M.J., Taylor, G.S., Johnson, S.N., Cook, J.M., Riegler, M. 2016. Codivergence of the primary bacterial endosymbiont of psyllids versus host switches and replacement of their secondary bacterial endosymbionts. Environmental Microbiology, 18: 2591-2603.
* Hansen, A.K., Moran, N.A. 2014. The impact of microbial symbionts on host plant utilization by herbivorous insects. Molecular Ecology, 23: 1473-1496.
* Hasegawa, M., Kishino, H., Yano, T. 1985. Dating of human-ape splitting by a molecular clock of mitochondrial DNA. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 22 (2): 160–174. doi:10.1007/BF02101694
* Heddi, A., Charles, H., Khatchadourian, C., Bonnot, G., Nardon, P. 1998. Molecular characterization of the principal symbiotic bacteria of the weevil Sitophilus oryzae: A peculiar G+C content of an endocytobiotic DNA. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 47: 52-61.
* Heled, J., Drummond, A.J. 2010. Bayesian inference of species trees from multilocus data. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 27(3): 570-580 doi: 10.1093/molbev/msp274.
* Heslop-Harrison, G., 1960. Contributions to our knowledge of the Psyllidae of Australia and New Zealand with special reference to Tasmania - (III). Annals and Magazine of Natural History, 3, 705-718.
* Hollis, D., 2004. Australian Psylloidea - Jumping Plant Lice and Lerp Insects. ABRS, Canberra.
* Hosokawa, T., Kikuchi, Y., Shimada, M., Fukatsu, T. 2007. Obligate symbiont involved in pest status of host insect. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, 274: 1979-1984
* Johnson, K.P., Dietrich, C.H., Friedrich, F., Beutel, R.G., Wipfler, B., Peters, R.S., Allen, J.M., Petersen, M., Donath, A., Walden, K.K. and Kozlov, A.M. 2018. Phylogenomics and the evolution of hemipteroid insects. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, p.201815820.
* Keck, F., Rimet, F., Bouchez, A., & Franc, A. 2016. phylosignal: an R package to measure, test, and explore the phylogenetic signal. Ecology and Evolution, 6(9), 2774-2780.
* Kimura, M. 1980. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. Journal of Molecular Evolution 16, 111–120.
* Klimaszewski, S.M. 1964. Studia nad ukladem systemtycznym podrzedu Psylloidea. Annales zoologici 22, 81-138.
* Klindworth, A., Pruesse, E., Schweer,T., Peplies, J., Quast, C., Horn, M., Glockner, F.O. 2013. Evaluation of general 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Research, 41.
* Kohl, K.D., Varner, J., Wilkening, J.L., Dearing, M.D. 2017. Gut microbial communities of American pikas (Ochotona princeps): Evidence for phylosymbiosis and adaptations to novel diets. Journal of Animal Ecology, 1-9.
* Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., Tamura, K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across computing platforms. Molecular Biology and Evolution 35:1547-1549.
* Lim, S.J., Bordenstein, S.R. 2019. An introduction to Phylosymbiosis. PeerJ Preprints, V2, December 2019.
* Macfarlane, R.P., Andrew, I.G., Berry, J.A., Johns, P.M., Hoare, R.J.B., Lariviere, M.-C., Grenslade, P., Henderson, R.C., Smithers, C.N., Palma, R.L., Ward, J.B., Pilgrm, R.L.C., Towns, D.R., McLellan, I., Teulon, D.A.J., Hitchings, T.R., Eeastop, V.F., Martin, N.A., Fletcher, M.J., Stufkens, M.A.W., Dale, P.J., Burckhardt, D. & Buckley, T.R. 2010. Phylum Arthropoda, subphylum hexapoda: Protura, springtails, Diplura, insects. Checklist of New Zealand Hexapoda. In Gordon, D.P. (ed.), New Zealand Inventory of Biodiversity. Volume 2, Kingdom Animalia, Chaetognatha, Ecdysozoa, Ichnofossils. Canterbury University Press, Christchurch, 233‒467.
* Mantel, N. 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. Cancer Research 27: 209-220.
* Martoni, F., Burckhardt, D., Armstrong, K. 2016. An annotated checklist of the psyllids of New Zealand (Hemiptera: Psylloidea). Zootaxa 4144, 556-574.
* Martoni, F. 2017. Biodiversity, Evolution and Microbiome of the New Zealand Psylloidea (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha). Ph.D. Thesis, Lincoln University, Lincoln, New Zealand, 279 pp.
* Martoni, F., Bulman, S.R., Pitman, A.R., Armstrong, K. 2017. Elongation Factor-1α Accurately Reconstructs Relationships Amongst Psyllid Families (Hemiptera: Psylloidea), with Possible Diagnostic Implications. Journal of economic entomology 110, 2618-2622.
* Martoni, F., Bulman, S.R., Pitman, A.R., Taylor, G.S., Armstrong, K. 2018. DNA Barcoding Highlights Cryptic Diversity in the New Zealand Psylloidea (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha). Diversity 10, 50.
* Martoni, F., Armstrong, K. 2019a. Acizzia errabunda sp. nov. and Ctenarytaina insularis sp. nov.: descriptions of two new species of psyllids (Hemiptera: Psylloidea) discovered on exotic host plants in New Zealand. PLOS ONE https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214220 PMID: 30969983.
* Martoni, F., Armstrong, K. 2019b. Resolving an 87-year-old taxonomical curiosity with the description of *Psylla frodobagginsi* sp. nov. (Hemiptera: Sternorrhyncha: Psyllidae), a second distinct *Psylla* species on the New Zealand endemic plant kōwhai. PLoS ONE, 14(9): e0221316. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221316
* Matsuura, Y., Kikuchi, Y., Hosokawa, T., Koga, R., Meng, X.Y., Kamagata, Y., Nikoh, N., Fukatsu, T. 2012. Evolution of symbiotic organs and endosymbionts in lygaeid stinkbugs. Isme Journal 6: 397-409.
* McMurdie, P.J., Holmes, S. 2014. Waste Not, Want Not: Why Rarefying Microbiome Data Is Inadmissible. PLoS Computational Biology, 10.
* Mifsud, D., Burckhardt, D. 2002. Taxonomy and phylogeny of the Old World jumping plant-louse genus Paurocephala (Insecta, Hemiptera, Psylloidea). Journal of Natural History, 36, 1887-1986.
* Minard, G., Van, V.T., Tran, F.H., Melaun, C., Klimpel, S., Koch, L.K., Kim, K.L.H., Thuy, T.H.T., Ngoc, H.T., Potier, P., Mavingui, P. Moro, C.V. 2017. Identification of sympatric cryptic species of Aedes albopictus subgroup in Vietnam: new perspectives in phylosymbiosis of insect vector. Parasites & Vectors 10.
* Moran, P.A.P. 1950. Notes on continuous stochastic phenomena. Biometrika 37:17–23.
* Nakabachi, A., Ueoka, R., Oshima, K., Teta, R., Mangoni, A., Gurgui, M., Oldham, N.J., van Echten-Deckert, G., Okamura, K., Yamamoto, K., Inoue, H., Ohkuma, M., Hongoh, Y., Miyagishima, S.Y., Hattori, M., Piel, J., Fukatsu, T. 2013. Defensive bacteriome symbiont with a drastically reduced genome. Current Biology, 23: 1478–1484.
* Ouvrard, D. 2019. Psyl'list - The world Psylloidea Database. http://www.hemiptera-databases.com/psyllist. Last accessed on January 2019.
* Ouvrard, D., Campbell, B.C., Bourgoin, T., Chan, K.L. 2000. 18S rRNA secondary structure and phylogenetic position of Peloridiidae (Insecta, Hemiptera). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 16, 403-417.
* Ouvrard, D., Chalise, P., Percy, D.M. 2015. Host-plant leaps versus host-plant shuffle: a global survey reveals contrasting patterns in an oligophagous insect group (Hemiptera, Psylloidea). Systematics and Biodiversity, 13, 434-454.
* Pagel, M. 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature 401:877–884.
* Percy, D.M., 2017. Making the most of your host: the Metrosideros-feeding psyllids (Hemiptera: Psylloidea) of the Hawaiian Islands. ZooKeys 649, 1-163.
* Percy, D.M., Crampton-Platt, A., Sveinsson, S., Lemmon, A.R., Moriarty Lemmon, E., Ouvrard, D., Burckhardt, D., 2018. Resolving the psyllid tree of life: phylogenomic analyses of the superfamily Psylloidea (Hemiptera). Systematic Entomology, DOI: 10.1111/syen.12302.
* Pollock, F.J., McMinds, R., Smith, S., Bourne, D.G., Willis, B.L., Medina, M., Vega Thurber, R., Zaneveld, J.R. 2018. Coral-associated bacteria demonstrate phylosymbiosis and cophylogeny. Nature Communications 11/22;9(1):4921.
* Prosdocimi, E.M., Mapelli, F., Gonella, E., Borin, S. & Crotti, E. 2015. Microbial ecology-based methods to characterize the bacterial communities of non-model insects. Journal of Microbiological Methods, 119: 110–125.
* Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J., Glöckner, F.O. 2013. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Research 41 (D1): D590-D596.
* Rambaut, A., 2016. FigTree v1.4.3 http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/.
* Rambaut, A., Drummond, A.J., Xie, D., Baele, G., Suchard, M.A. 2018. Tracer v1.7, Available from <http://beast.community/tracer>.
* Ramsey, J.S., Johnson, R.S., Hoki, J.S., Kruse, A., Mahoney, J., Hilf, M.E., Hunter, W.B., Hall, D.G., Schroeder, F.C., MacCoss, M.J., Cilia, M. 2015. Metabolic interplay between the Asian citrus psyllid and its *Profftella* symbiont: an Achilles’ heel of the citrus greening insect vector. PLoSONE 10: e0140826.
* Saha, S., Hunter, W.B., Reese, J., Morgan, J.K., Marutani-Hert, M., Huang, H., Lindeberg, M. 2012. Survey of Endosymbionts in the Diaphorina citri Metagenome and Assembly of a Wolbachia wDi Draft Genome. Plos One, 7.
* Sanders, J.G., Powell, S., Kronauer, D.J.C., Vasconcelos, H.L., Frederickson, M.E., Pierce, N.E. 2014. Stability and phylogenetic correlation in gut microbiota: lessons from ants and apes. Molecular Ecology, 23: 1268-1283.
* Sauer, C., Stackebrandt, E., Gadau, J., Holldobler, B., Gross, R. 2000. Systematic relationships and cospeciation of bacterial endosymbionts and their carpenter ant host species: proposal of the new taxon Candidatus Blochmannia gen. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 50: 1877-1886.
* Schroder, D., Deppisch, H., Obermayer, M., Krohne, G., Stackebrandt, E., Holldobler, B., Goebel, W., Gross, R. 1996. Intracellular endosymbiotic: Bacteria of Camponotus species (carpenter ants): Systematics, evolution and ultrastructural characterization. Molecular Microbiology 21: 479-489.
* Schwarz, G. 1978. Estimating the dimension of a model. The annals of statistics 6(2): 461-464.
* Silverman, J.D., Washburne, A.D., Mukherjee, S., David, L.A. 2017. A phylogenetic transform enhances analysis of compositional microbiota data. Elife, 6:1–20.
* Sloan, W.T., Lunn, M., Woodcock, S., Head, I.M., Nee, S., Curtis, T.P. 2006. Quantifying the roles of immigration and chance in shaping prokaryote community structure. Environmental microbiology, 8(4):732-40.
* Sloan, D.B., Moran, N.A. 2012. Genome reduction and co-evolution between the primary and secondary bacterial symbionts of psyllids. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 29(12):3781-92. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mss180.
* Spaulding, A.W., von Dohlen, C.D. 2001. Psyllid endosymbionts exhibit patterns of co-speciation with hosts and destabilizing substitutions in ribosomal RNA. Insect Molecular Biology, 10: 57-67.
* Syfert, M.M., Serbina, L., Burckhardt, D., Knapp, S., Percy, D.M. 2017. Emerging new crop pests: ecological modelling and analysis of the South American potato psyllid *Russelliana solanicola* (Hemiptera: Psylloidea) and its wild relatives. PLoS ONE 12(1): e0167764. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167764
* Syrett, P., Fowler, S.V., Harman, H.M., Hayes, L.M., Memmott, J., Sheat, J.J. 2007. Establishment of *Arytainilla spartiophila* Förster (Hemiptera: Psyllidae), a new biological control agent for broom, *Cytisus scoparius*, in New Zealand. New Zealand Entomologist 30, 53-62.
* Tamura, K., Nei, M. 1993. Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Molecular Biology and Evolution 10 (3): 512–526. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040023
* Tavaré, S. 1986. Some Probabilistic and Statistical Problems in the Analysis of DNA Sequences. Lectures on Mathematics in the Life Sciences.17: 57–86.
* Taylor, G.S., Fagan-Jeffries, E.P., Austin, A.D. 2016. A new genus and twenty new species of Australian jumping plant-lice (Psylloidea: Triozidae) from Eremophila and Myoporum (Scrophulariaceae: Myoporeae). Zootaxa, 4073, 1.
* Thao, M.L., Moran, N.A., Abbot, P., Brennan, E.B., Burckhardt, D.H., Baumann, P. 2000a. Cospeciation of psyllids and their primary prokaryotic endosymbionts. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 66: 2898-2905.
* Thao, M.L., Clark, M.A., Baumann, L., Brennan, E.B., Moran, N.A., Baumann, P. 2000b. Secondary endosymbionts of psyllids have been acquired multiple times. Current Microbiology 41: 300-304
* Thao, M.L., Clark, M.A., Burckhardt, D.H., Moran, N.A., Baumann, P. 2001. Phylogenetic analysis of vertically transmitted psyllid endosymbionts (Candidatus Carsonella ruddii) based on atpAGD and rpoC: Comparisons with 16S-23S rDNA-derived phylogeny. Current Microbiology, 42: 419-421.
* Tsuchida, T., Koga, R., Matsumoto, S., Fukatsu, T. 2011. Interspecific symbiont transfection confers a novel ecological trait to the recipient insect. Biology Letters, 7: 245-248.
* Tuthill, L.D. 1942. Psyllidae from Rapa, the Caroline, Society, and Austral Islands (Homoptera). Occasional Papers of Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hawaii, 17, 71-78.
* Tuthill, L.D. 1943. Descriptions and Records of Some Fijian Psyllidae (Homoptera). Occasional Papers of Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hawaii 18, 221-228.
* Tuthill, L.D. 1952. On the Psyllidae of New Zealand (Homoptera). Pacific Science, 6, 83-125.
* Tuthill, L.D. 1956. Psyllidae of Pacific Entomological Survey. Occasional Papers of Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hawaii, 22, 1-5.
* Vavre, F., Kremer, N. 2014. Microbial impacts on insect evolutionary diversification: from patterns to mechanisms. Current Opinion in Insect Science, 4: 29-34.
* Vereijssen, J., Smith, G.R., Weintraub, P.G. 2018. *Bactericera cockerelli* (Hemiptera: Triozidae) and Candidatus Liberibacter solanacearum in potatoes in New Zealand: biology, transmission, and implications for management. Journal of Integrated Pest Management 9, 1-21.
* Wagstaff, S.J., Cochrane, M.J., Wilton, A.D. 2004. Phylogeny of New Zealand Plants. Last updated 04-Feb-2016.
* Webb, C.O., Ackerly, D.D., Kembel, S.W. 2008. Phylocom: software for the analysis of phylogenetic community structure and trait evolution. Bioinformatics 24: 2098-2100.
* Willis AD 2019. Rarefaction, alpha diversity, and statistics. Frontiers in Microbiology, 10.
* Willis, A., Bunge, J. 2015. Estimating diversity via frequency ratios. Biometrics, 71(4), 1042-1049.
* Willis, A., Bunge, J., & Whitman, T. 2017. Improved detection of changes in species richness in high diversity microbial communities. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series C (Applied Statistics), 66(5), 963-977.

**Figure SM1: Maximum Likelihood COI gene tree (10000 replicates, K2P).**

**Figure SM2: Maximum Likelihood 18S gene tree (10000 replicates, K2P).**

**Figure SM3: Psyllid species host plants associations** for the New Zealand native *Trioza* species. The psyllid phylogeny (left), from Figure 2, is associated with the host plant phylogeny (right), represented at the higher taxonomic level only, to order (green) and family (various colours).

**Table SM1: Psyllid samples used in this study.** The table lists the species analysed in this study and the family they belong to. Information on the country of origin of the samples are provided together with the number of samples and populations. Number of DNA sequences used is reported together with accession numbers for the COI, EF-1α and 18S genes. Accession numbers in bold are for the sequences generated in this study.